

## Studies on chemical composition of dried and dehydrated ripe mango cv Alphonso slices as affected by packaging material

US SHINDE, KH PUJARI and MS SHEDGE

College of Agriculture, Dr Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth  
Dapoli, Dist Ratnagiri 415712 Maharashtra, India  
Email for correspondence: udayshinde1010@gmail.com

---

© Society for Advancement of Human and Nature (SADHNA)

Received: 12.08.2021/Accepted: 31.08.2021

---

### ABSTRACT

The present investigations were carried out at the Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Dist Ratnagiri, Maharashtra. Osmotic dehydrated and dried mango slices were prepared from ripe fruits. During storage period, moisture, total soluble solids, reducing and total sugars of dehydrated ripe mango slices of all treatments were found to be increased and acidity, ascorbic acid and  $\beta$ -carotene to be decreased. Moisture and reducing and total sugars were found highest during the nine months of storage period in treatment ie slices prepared by steeping them in 70°B sugar solution containing 0.1 per cent KMS + 0.2 per cent ascorbic acid + 1 per cent citric acid + cabinet drying.

**Keywords:** Mango slices; osmotic dehydration; packaging materials; KMS; HDPE; ambient temperature

### INTRODUCTION

Osmotic dehydration is an approach which involves two stages: first stage is removal of water using concentrated sugar syrup as osmotic agent and second stage is dehydration in air circulation where moisture percentage is reduced to about 10 per cent. At present ripe mangoes are supplied to the defense forces mainly in the form of canned slices in sugar syrup and due to high cost of cans, the canned slices become expensive and the bulk weight is another disadvantage (Jayaraman et al 1976). Thus there is a need to develop newer products which are light in weight and can be eaten directly without any preparation. The present investigations were therefore undertaken to study effect of dehydration of ripe mango slices using different sugar syrup treatments.

### MATERIAL and METHODS

Mature fruits of cv Alphonso were obtained from the Department of Horticulture, Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Maharashtra. Fruits were ripened at ambient temperature. Firm ripe fruits were washed, peeled and cut into slices. The slices were steeped in sugar solution as per treatments. Slices to steeping ratio

was kept at 1:1 and steeping was done for 24 h. The slices were drained thoroughly over sieve and drained slices were dehydrated in cabinet drier at 55°C and control slices in sunlight till constant weight was observed. The dried slices were packed in 100, 200, 300 and 400 gauge HDPE bags and stored for 9 months at ambient temperature. The chemical properties were determined according to the method described by Ranganna (1986). The sugars were determined by the method described by Lane and Eynon (1923).

### RESULTS and DISCUSSION

The results obtained are presented in Table 1.

**Moisture:** The moisture content at 9 months storage was found to be maximum in treatment T<sub>6</sub> (5.56%) and was significantly higher than other treatments. Packaging material P<sub>1</sub> (100 gauge) recorded maximum moisture content (4.83%) which was found to be significantly superior over the rest. The interaction effects were found to be significant at 9 months storage. The gain in moisture of slices may be attributed to moisture absorption from the atmosphere. Sagar et al (1999) and Amitabh and Tomar (2000) reported similar results in case of ripe mango slices.

Table 1. Effect of packaging material on chemical composition of dehydrated ripe mango slices during storage at ambient temperature (pooled data)

Treatment	Initial value	Moisture (%)				Initial value	TSS (°B)				Initial value	Acidity (%)					
		P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>		Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>		P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>
T <sub>1</sub>	3.20	4.80	4.76	4.74	4.72	4.76	36.22	36.25	36.28	36.30	36.26	0.98	0.58	0.57	0.56	0.54	0.56
T <sub>2</sub>	3.10	4.70	4.68	4.66	4.63	4.67	44.26	44.29	44.31	44.34	44.30	0.82	0.67	0.65	0.64	0.62	0.64
T <sub>3</sub>	3.08	4.63	4.60	4.58	4.56	4.59	48.27	51.36	51.39	51.40	51.42	0.98	0.70	0.69	0.66	0.63	0.67
T <sub>4</sub>	3.04	4.53	4.50	4.48	4.45	4.49	53.24	55.46	55.49	55.51	55.54	1.00	0.74	0.72	0.71	0.68	0.71
T <sub>5</sub>	3.02	4.42	4.41	4.39	4.36	4.40	57.86	60.56	60.59	60.62	60.64	1.02	0.81	0.79	0.76	0.74	0.77
T <sub>6</sub>	3.89	5.64	5.58	5.54	5.51	5.56	21.56	22.91	22.94	22.96	22.78	0.49	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.28
T <sub>7</sub>	3.26	4.88	4.83	4.78	4.75	4.81	24.46	26.53	26.55	26.75	26.62	0.52	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.30
T <sub>8</sub>	3.38	5.06	5.01	4.96	4.93	4.99	23.49	26.11	26.13	26.15	26.18	0.45	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.29
Mean	-	4.83	4.79	4.76	4.74	-	40.43	40.45	40.49	40.52	-	-	0.55	0.54	0.52	0.51	-

Moisture	TSS	Acidity
----------	-----	---------

Tr (SE)	0.016	0.008	0.007
CD <sub>0.01</sub>	0.059	0.035	0.025
Pack (SE)	0.011	0.006	0.005
CD <sub>0.01</sub>	0.040	0.022	0.018
Int (SE)	0.033	0.017	0.014
CD <sub>0.01</sub>	0.122	NS	0.054

Table 1. Contd....

Treatment	Initial value	Reducing sugar (%)				Initial value	Total sugar (%)				Initial value	Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)						
		P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>		Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>		P <sub>4</sub>	Mean	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	Mean
T <sub>1</sub>	13.10	17.91	18.05	18.18	18.33	18.12	30.05	34.85	34.93	35.04	34.99	34.95	210	132	133	134.66	137.33	134.25
T <sub>2</sub>	17.05	21.72	21.87	22.01	22.15	21.94	38.09	43.13	43.25	43.33	43.43	43.28	222	140.66	144.50	146.50	148.50	145.04
T <sub>3</sub>	20.50	25.37	25.50	25.66	25.80	25.58	45.26	50.17	50.33	50.43	50.56	50.37	236	150.66	152.33	153.33	155.66	153.12
T <sub>4</sub>	22.40	27.39	27.53	27.66	27.79	27.59	50.59	55.61	55.72	55.81	55.76	55.72	249	160.16	162.33	163.66	165.50	162.91
T <sub>5</sub>	26.72	31.78	31.92	32.07	32.21	32.00	56.20	61.13	61.36	61.37	61.50	61.32	245	172.0	173.75	176.10	178.56	175.10
T <sub>6</sub>	6.62	10.10	10.22	10.34	10.44	10.27	18.15	22.80	22.85	22.94	23.05	22.91	29	8.29	8.44	8.77	8.88	8.59

T <sub>7</sub>	10.76	14.80	14.93	15.07	15.21	15.00	22.98	26.59	26.72	26.85	26.98	26.78	42	17.93	18.31	18.70	18.98	18.48
T <sub>8</sub>	8.60	12.11	12.23	12.36	12.50	12.30	21.26	25.82	25.91	26.04	26.11	25.97	35	11.00	11.21	11.56	11.74	11.38
Mean	-	20.15	20.28	20.42	20.55	-	-	40.01	40.12	40.23	40.30	-	-	99.09	100.48	101.72	103.14	-

	Reducing sugar	Total sugar	Ascorbic acid
Tr (SE)	0.013	0.024	0.204
CD <sub>0.01</sub>	0.048	0.088	0.756
Pack (SE)	0.009	0.017	0.144
CD <sub>0.01</sub>	0.033	0.063	0.533
Int (SE)	0.027	0.049	0.408
CD <sub>0.01</sub>	NS	NS	1.512

Table 1. Contd.....

Treatment	Initial value	B-carotene (mg/100 g)			
		P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>
T <sub>1</sub>	9,015	6,953	6,963	6,973	6,983
T <sub>2</sub>	9,165	7,023	7,032	7,042	7,052
T <sub>3</sub>	9,253	7,123	7,136	7,147	7,157
T <sub>4</sub>	9,450	7,488	7,498	7,509	7,520
T <sub>5</sub>	9,512	7,567	7,577	7,587	7,599
T <sub>6</sub>	2,890	1,993	2,005	2,016	2,026
T <sub>7</sub>	4,940	3,685	3,695	3,705	3,716
T <sub>8</sub>	3,910	2,755	2,765	2,776	2,788
Mean	-	5,573	5,584	5,594	5,605

Tr (SE): 0.735, CD<sub>0.01</sub>: 2.725; Pack (SE): 0.520, CD<sub>0.01</sub>: 1.928; Int (SE): 1.471, CD<sub>0.01</sub>: NS

T<sub>1</sub>: Steeping of slices in 30°B sugar solution containing 0.1% KMS + 0.2% ascorbic acid + 1% citric acid + cabinet drying, T<sub>2</sub>: Steeping of slices in 40°B sugar solution containing 0.1% KMS + 0.2% ascorbic acid + 1% citric acid + cabinet drying, T<sub>3</sub>: Steeping of slices in 50°B sugar solution containing 0.1% KMS + 0.2% ascorbic acid + 1% citric acid cabinet drying, T<sub>4</sub>: Steeping of slices in 60°B sugar solution containing 0.1% KMS + 0.2% ascorbic acid + 1% citric acid + cabinet drying, T<sub>5</sub>: Steeping of slices in 70°B sugar solution containing 0.1% KMS + 0.2% ascorbic acid + 1% citric acid + cabinet drying, T<sub>6</sub>: Only sun drying of ripe mango slices, T<sub>7</sub>: Only cabinet drying of ripe mango slices, T<sub>8</sub>: Only solar drying of ripe mango slices, P<sub>1</sub>: 100 gauge high density polyethylene, P<sub>2</sub>: 200 gauge high density polyethylene, P<sub>3</sub>: 300 gauge high density polyethylene, P<sub>4</sub>: 400 gauge high density polyethylene

**Total soluble solids:** Highest TSS was observed in treatment T<sub>5</sub> (60.60°B) at 9 months storage. Packaging material P<sub>4</sub> recorded maximum TSS (40.52°B) and was significantly superior over the rest. Highest TSS noticed in slices could be due to more strength of syrup. The increase in TSS during storage can be attributed to conversion of non-reducing sugar into reducing sugar by the acids present in the product. Similar results are reported by Manjrekar (2005) in cashew apple candy.

**Acidity:** Highest acidity was observed in treatment T<sub>5</sub> (0.77%) at a month storage and was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. Packaging material P<sub>1</sub> recorded highest acidity (0.55%) at 9 months storage. Treatment combination T<sub>5</sub>P<sub>1</sub> recorded highest acidity (0.81%) at 9 months and was significantly superior over the rest. The highest acidity in T<sub>5</sub> could be due to citric acid added to syrup at the time of steeping of slices. Decrease in acidity in storage period may be due to disappearance of SO<sub>2</sub> from the slices. Identical results to the present findings were reported by Sagar et al (1999) and Amitabh and Tomar (2000).

**Reducing sugar:** Highest reducing sugar was registered in treatment T<sub>5</sub> (32.00%) in 9 months which was significantly superior over all other treatments. Packaging material P<sub>4</sub> recorded highest reducing sugar (20.55%) at 9 months which was significantly superior over the rest. Increase in reducing sugar during storage might be due to increase in non-reducing sugar to reducing sugar caused by acids present in dehydrated products. These results are in line with the findings of Amitabh and Tomar (2000).

**Total sugar:** At 9 months storage maximum total sugar was registered by treatment T<sub>5</sub> (61.32%) and was significantly superior over all other treatments. Packaging material P<sub>4</sub> recorded highest total sugar (40.30%) at 9 months storage. Increase in total sugar during storage could be attributed to more rapid hydrolysis of polysaccharides and their subsequent inversion to reducing sugar. Similar findings are reported by Sagar et al (1999).

**Ascorbic acid:** Highest content of ascorbic acid was observed in treatment T<sub>5</sub> (175.10 mg/100 g) which was significantly superior over other treatments. Packaging material P<sub>4</sub> recorded highest ascorbic acid (103.14 mg/100 g). Treatment combination T<sub>5</sub>P<sub>4</sub> registered maximum ascorbic acid (178.56 mg/100 g) which was significant over the rest. The highest ascorbic acid

noticed in the slices can be attributed to the high level of SO<sub>2</sub> and greater protection caused by packaging material. Analogous results to present findings are reported by Sagar et al (1999).

**β-carotene:** Maximum β-carotene was registered in treatment T<sub>5</sub> (7,583 mg/100 g) at 9 months storage. Packaging material P<sub>4</sub> recorded highest total carotenoids (5,605 mg/100 g) at 9 months storage and was significantly superior than others. This could be due to temperature and light effect on pigments. The main cause of carotene degradation could be oxidation and further stimulation by presence of light, enzymes and co-oxidation of carotene which might have later isomerized to mutachrone. Sagar and Khurdiya (1996) and Sagar and Kumar (2009) reported similar results.

## CONCLUSION

During the storage period, moisture, TSS, reducing and total sugars of dehydrated ripe mango slices of all treatments were found to increase whereas acidity, ascorbic acid and β-carotene were found to decrease. Maximum moisture, TSS, acidity, reducing and total sugars, ascorbic acid and β-carotene were found in slices prepared by stepping slices in treatment consisting of 70°B sugar solution containing 0.1 per cent KMS + 0.2 per cent ascorbic acid + 1 per cent citric acid + cabinet drying which was found to be best and remained palatable during nine months period of storage which were packed in 400 gauge high density polyethylene as compared to other treatments under study.

## REFERENCES

- Amitabh SRD and Tomar MC 2000. Studies on osmotic dehydration of some varieties of ripe mangoes grown in Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Food Packer* **54(3)**: 66-72.
- Jayaraman KS, Ramanuja MN, Goverdhanand T, Bhatia BS and Nath H 1976. Technological aspects of use of ripe mangoes in the preparation of some convenience foods for defense services. *Indian Food Packer* **30(5)**: 76-82.
- Lane JH and Eynon L 1923. Determination of reducing sugars by means of Fehling's solution with methylene blue as internal indicator. *Journal of the Society of Chemical Industry* **42**: 32-36.
- Manjrekar RP 2005. Studies on flowering behaviour, maturity indices, integrated post harvest handling and processing of cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* Linn)

- cvs Vengurla-1, Vengurla-4, Vengurla-6 and Vengurla-7. PhD (Agric) Thesis, Dr Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidhyapeeth, Dapoli, Maharashtra, India.
- Ranganna S 1986. Manual of analysis of fruit and vegetable products. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Ltd, New Delhi, India.
- Sagar VR and Khurdiya DS 1996. Effect of ripening stages on quality of dehydrated ripe mango slices. Journal of Food Science and Technology **33(6)**: 527-529.
- Sagar VR and Kumar PS 2009. Involvement of some process variables in mass transfer kinetics of osmotic dehydration of mango slices and storage stability. Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research **68**: 1043-1048.
- Sagar VR, Khurdiya DS and Balakrishnan KA 1999. Quality of dehydrated ripe mango slices as affected by packaging material and mode of packaging. Journal of Food Science and Technology **36(1)**: 67-70.